

SEDS USA SEDS-USA Chapter Grant Proposal Scoring Rubric

Criterion	3 Exemplary	2 Adequate	1 Needs Improvement	0 Insufficient Evidence	Comments/Notes
the implementation of new	represents local	practice(s) commonplace	specific potential		
	insight or idea, with potential	implementation of	within the field, or an	improvement defined.	
	benefits of change made clear.	emerging innovation or	adoption of a change with		
		trend, with potential	well-established benefits.		
		benefits specified.			
Project	Personnel, project activities	Deficiencies or	Project's assembled	Insufficient information	
Management	timeline, and budget	overestimations exist in	personnel, timeline, or	about personnel, project	
	expenditures congruent with	personnel, timeline, or	budget expose weakness in	activities timeline, or	
	project/initiative description	budget within tolerable	plan design. Outcomes	budget expenditures to	
	and outcomes.	range, outcome appears	unlikely to be achieved in	gauge feasibility.	
		despite gaps or leaps.	project's current form.		
Rational	Strong rational and	Rationale or significance of	Weak presentation of	Unconvincing or no	
	significance of proposed	project tends toward the	chapter's need, or tenuous	evidence of need	
	project/initiative. Addresses	too-specific or too-general,	argument for grant's ability	presented, or grant	
	specific need(s) common	but overall argument holds.	to address need.	proposal does not address	
	among peer institution.			stated need.	
Sustainability	Evidence presented that	Project/initiative is	Plans for future are states	No meaningful plans for	
	project/initiative or its impact	temporary, designed to end	as assumptions without	future beyond funding term	
	can be sustained locally	when grant ends, or some	supporting arguments or	appeal in proposal.	
	beyond grant period, if results	effort to secure	evidence.		
	warrant.	commitment beyond grant			
		period is represented.			
Diversity	Demographic makeup of	Average demographic	Minimal diversity in chapter	Minimal diversity in chapter	
	chapter and/or goal of	makeup of chapter and/or	demographic makeup and	demographic makeup and	
	project/initiative demonstrates	goal of project/initiative	project/initiative seems to	project/initiative only	
	emphasis on reaching entire	seems to reach fair amount			



SEDS USA SEDS-USA Chapter Grant Proposal Scoring Rubric

	chapter community and may	of chapter community, and	not include diversity in	reaches select
	also include the larger	most can benefit from the	scope.	group/individuals.
	community.	project.	000001	group/ marviaudici
Professionalism	Clear picture of how data will	Good understating of	Success difficult to	Evaluation plans missing or
Fiolessionalism	be collected and used to	anticipated specific results	ascertain, flawed by	unusable. Proposal very
	demonstrate degree to which	or success, but plan lacks	untestable outcomes,	disorganized, with no
	outcomes are met. Proposal	some detail about data or	inappropriate methods, or	evidence or a clear
	follows clear and concise	methods. Proposal follows	lack of useful data	structure. Grammatical and
	structure and has no	general structure with	collection. Proposal	formatting errors challenge
		minimal grammatical and	inconsistent in hard to	comprehension.
	grammatical or formatting		follow. Grammatical and	Comprehension.
	errors.	formatting errors.		
			formatting errors take away	
	5 1 1		from message.	
Budget	Budget is reasonable and	The budget is reasonable	The budget is somewhat	Budget is not reasonable,
	shows cost breakdown by	and shows cost	reasonable, yet there are	missing, or unrelated to
	item. Resources have been	breakdown. It	gaps in explanation of costs	project/initiative.
	identified and budget designed	demonstrated and effort to	shown or a clear link to the	
	to maximize project/initiative	identify resources and	project/initiative needs.	
	activities. Additional funding	maximize funds.		
	sources, if applicable, have			
	been identified.			
Total Score				
Recommend	Yes	Partial \$	Not at this time.	
Funding				